

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

WILMA M. PENNINGTON-THURMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 4:09CV154 FRB
)	
AT&T,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [Doc. #4].

There is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. Nelson v. Redfield Lithograph Printing, 728 F.2d 1003, 1004 (8th Cir. 1984). In determining whether to appoint counsel, the Court considers several factors, including (1) whether the plaintiff has presented non-frivolous allegations supporting his or her prayer for relief; (2) whether the plaintiff will substantially benefit from the appointment of counsel; (3) whether there is a need to further investigate and present the facts related to the plaintiff's allegations; and (4) whether the factual and legal issues presented by the action are complex. Battle v. Armontrout, 902 F.2d 701, 702 (8th Cir. 1990); Johnson v. Williams, 788 F.2d 1319, 1322-23 (8th Cir. 1986).

After considering these factors, the Court finds that the facts and legal issues involved in the instant case are not so complicated that the appointment of counsel is warranted at this time.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [Doc. #4] is **DENIED** without prejudice.

Dated this 21st day of May, 2009.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Frederick R. Buckles", is written above a horizontal line.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE